

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.napto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/008,582	11/13/2001	Edward A. Green	FURO/06/111	6600
26875	7590 07/08/2003			
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP			EXAMINER	
2700 CAREW 441 VINE STI	REET		MCDONALD, S	SHANTESE L
CINCINNATI, OH 45202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	2
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/008,582

Applicant(s)

A

Examiner
McDonald, Shantese

Art Unit **3723**

Green et al.

	- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period 1	for Reply			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM		
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	A STATE OF THE STA		
	date of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a	e statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.		
- Failure	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ns continuncation, even if tariety filed, may reduce any		
Status				
1) X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 13, 2	001 .		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This act	ion is non-final.		
3) 🗆	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-4, 6, 7, and 10	is/are rejected.		
7) 💢	Claim(s) 5, 8, and 9	is/are objected to.		
-		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.		
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No		
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bure.	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
*Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.		
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.				
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachm		_		
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)		
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
3) (X) Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2	6) [_] Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10008582 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 4 recites the limitation "tube portion" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipate by Reum.

Reum teaches a tubular portion, 37, having an external diameter greater than an internal diameter of the tube end, (col. 3, lines 11-14), the tube end having a first external diameter and a second larger diameter when the tubular portion is inserted within the tube end, (fig. 1). Reum also teaches placing a coil spring, 33, around the tube end, the coil spring having an internal diameter less than the second larger diameter of the tube, forcing the tubular portion into the tube

Application/Control Number: 10008582 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

end causing the tube to expand forcing the coil spring to expand wherein the coil spring exerts continuous radial compressive force around the tube end, (col. 3, lines 55-61).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2-4,7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reum in view of Wiebe.

Reum teaches all the limitations of the claims except for the coiled spring being forced to expand from about 1% to about 5%, and the tube portion being barbed. Wiebe teaches the a barbed tube portion, (fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to provide the invention of Reum with a barbed tubular portion, as taught by Wiebe, in order to enhance the ability to connect the tubing to the fitting.

It would have been further obvious to have the coil spring to expand between 1% to about 5%, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routing skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10008582 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 5, 8 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hohmann et al. was cited to show another example of a tube fitting.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shantese McDonald whose telephone number is (703) 308-8722.

Joseph J. Hail, III Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3700

Junt O. Hails